# Military Recruit Training Induces a Proximal Shift in Lower-Limb Dynamic Movement Strategies

**Jodie A. Wills**<sup>1,2</sup>, Bradley Nindl<sup>3</sup>, Tim LA. Doyle<sup>1,2</sup>, Matthew Bird<sup>4</sup>, Kristen J. Koltun<sup>3</sup> Brian J. Martin<sup>3</sup>, Elizabeth J. Steele<sup>3</sup>, Jennifer N. Forse<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Medicine, Health, and Human Sciences, Macquarie University, NSW, Australia <sup>2</sup>Biomechanics, Physical Performance, and Exercise Research Group, Macquarie University, NSW, Australia <sup>3</sup>Neuromuscular Research Laboratory/Warrior Human Performance Research Center, Department of Sports Medicine and Nutrition, University of Pittsburgh, United States

<sup>4</sup>Extremity Trauma & Amputation Center of Excellence, Womack Army Medical Center, Fort Liberty, NC, United States Email: jodie.wills@mq.edu.au

# Summary

Markerless Motion Capture is a portable movement assessment method, capable of evaluating full body motion. Screening military recruit mobility and movement patterns may provide meaningful insights into injury prevention and adaptive movement strategies adopted as an indicator of injury risk and/or successful job and training completion. After recruit training, there appears to be a proximal shift in joint contribution to military relevant dynamic movements.

#### Introduction

Lower body mobility is critical for soldiers as it directly impacts their capacity to execute physically demanding job-relevant tasks with efficiency and effectiveness [1]. Non-combat musculoskeletal issues, such as restricted hip mobility, are prevalent among active-duty soldiers, impacting readiness and performance [2]. Identifying potential mobility impairments and understanding adaptive movement strategies adopted by recruits to meet physically demanding training demands may help reduce injury risk and increase soldier readiness. This study aimed to assesses dynamic movement capacity before and after military recruit training.

#### Methods

608 US Army Officer Candidate School (OCS) recruits (height, 1.7±0.1 m; mass, 78.1±10.6 kg; sex, 111 females and 497 males) provided written, informed consent for participation. Before and after a 10-week recruit training course, five dynamic movements were assessed using the DARI marker less motion capture system (Dynamic Athletic Research Institute-Motion, Overland Park, USA): bilateral squat, overhead squat, lateral lunge, unilateral jump, and vertical jump. Lower limb mobility (range of motion) and kinematics (joint flexion and relative joint contribution) were assessed for all movements. One-way analysis of variance

(ANOVA) was used to identify statistical differences between pre-and-post outcomes (p<0.05). Welches F test and Games Howell post hoc tests were completed as data violated homogeneity of variances assumption.

# **Results and Discussion**

Table 1 summarises primary outcomes. Post-training, most movements exhibited a proximal shift in movement strategies, characterised by significant increases in hip joint flexion and mobility and reductions at the knee and ankle. The bilateral squat showed significant post-testing changes across all joint angles (hip (F(1,1210) = 29.278, p < 0.001); knee (F(1,1172) =4.485, p=0.034)", ankle (F(1,1196) = 5.498, p=0.019)). For the lateral lunge, reduced joint ranges were observed at both the knee (F(1,1209) = 9.062, p=0.003) and ankle (F(1,1206) =64.580, p<0.001) joints, whereas the overhead squat demonstrated decreases in mobility exclusively at the ankle (F(1,1203) = 6.703, p < 0.010). In unilateral and vertical jump movements, significant decreases in knee and ankle flexion angles were observed during both the loading and landing phases; hip flexion significantly increased (F(1,1191) = 4.265,p=0.039) in the vertical jump loading phase only.

### **Conclusions**

After OCS training, movement strategies shifted proximally, with increased hip utilisation and reduced knee and ankle utilisation and mobility across various tasks. These adaptations suggest a reliance on proximal joints for dynamic movement control and stability.

# Acknowledgments

To all volunteers and for research funding received from Office of Naval Research (Grant: N62909-21-1-2015).

#### References

- [1] Knapik, J (2015). J Spec Oper Med, 15: 123-7.
- [2] Molloy et al. (2020). Mil Med, 185: e1472-e1480.

Table 1: Mobility and kinematic main findings of DARI Motion movements of interest before and after 10-weeks recruit training.

| Movement   | Joint | Pre                                   |               | Post                               |               | n voluo              |
|--|-------|---------------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|
|  |       | (M±SD)                                | SE            | (M±SD)                             | SE            | <i>p</i> -value      |
| Bilateral Squat Mobility<br>Range of motion (°)  | Hip   | $123.85 \pm 16.16$                    | 0.655         | 128.73±15.30                       | 0.621         | <0.001*†             |
|  | Knee  | 131.23 ± 14.37                        | 0.583         | 129.63±11.85                       | 0.481         | 0.034*#              |
|  | Ankle | 30.24 ± 7.01                          | 0.284         | 29.35±6.19                         | 0.251         | 0.019*#              |
| Overhead Squat Mobility<br>Range of motion (°)   | Hip   | 131.96 ± 15.80                        | 0.641         | 133.14±14.15                       | 0.574         | 0.171†               |
|  | Knee  | 125.46 ± 16.20                        | 0.657         | 124.67±13.33                       | 0.541         | 0.351                |
|  | Ankle | $33.45 \pm 6.72$                      | 0.277         | 32.50±6.11                         | 0.248         | 0.010*#              |
| Lateral Lunge Mobility<br>Range of motion (°)  | Hip   | 113.77 ± 17.16                        | 0.696         | 114.03±15.92                       | 0.646         | 0.781†               |
|  | Knee  | 118.90 ± 14.05                        | 0.57          | 116.55±13.15                       | 0.533         | 0.003*               |
|  | Ankle | $36.18 \pm 8.00$                      | 0.325         | 32.63±7.39                         | 0.299         | <0.001*#             |
| Unilateral Jump Joint Flexion Angle (°) Loading   Landing  | Hip   | $72.9 \pm 18.48 \mid 37.8 \pm 17.66$  | 0.750   0.716 | 73.5 ± 19.40   39.5 ± 18.75        | 0.787   0.760 | 0.560†   0.103       |
|  | Knee  | 79.6 ± 12.66   53.9 ± 12.70           | 0.513   0.515 | 75.6 ± 11.67   55.4 ± 12.32        | 0.473   0.500 | <0.001*   0.004*     |
|  | Ankle | 27.7 ± 6.28   25.4 ± 6.47             | 0.255   0.262 | 24.5 ± 5.61   24.4 ± 6.22          | 0.228   0.252 | <0.001*   0.007*#    |
| Vertical Jump<br>Joint Flexion Angle (°)<br>Loading / Landing  | Hip   | 99.6 ± 18.31   49.0 ± 32.77           | 0.743   1.329 | 101.9 ± 21.08   50.9 ± 35.73       | 0.855   1.449 | 0.039*†   0.337      |
|  | Knee  | $114.5 \pm 16.83 \mid 77.4 \pm 24.62$ | 0.682   0.999 | 110.4 ± 17.13   73.4 ± 22.89       | 0.695   0.928 | <0.001*#   0.004*#   |
|  | Ankle | $33.1 \pm 6.54 \mid 31.4 \pm 6.53$    | 0.265   0.265 | $30.3 \pm 6.26 \mid 28.2 \pm 7.43$ | 0.254   0.301 | <0.001*#   < 0.001*# |
| *Indicates statistical significance; † statistically significant ↑ in % joint contribution; # statistically significant ↓ in % joint contribution. |       |                                       |               |                                    |               |                      |